Families Satisfying the Haar Condition

CHARLES B. DUNHAM

Computer Science Department, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

1. LINEAR FAMILIES AND THE HAAR CONDITION

Let X be a compact normal space and V a closed subset of X. Let $C(V, X)$ be the family of continuous real functions on X which vanish on V. In most cases of interest V will be empty but there exist many cases of interest in which V consists of a single point.

DEFINITION. An n-dimensional linear subspace of $C(V, X)$ is said to be a *Haar subspace (on X) with null space V* if only the zero element vanishes on n points of $X \sim V$.

DEFINITION. The functions $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ of $C(V, X)$ form a *Chebyshev set* on X with null set V if no nontrivial linear combination of them vanishes on n points of $X \sim V$.
  Therefore, a basis $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ of a linear subspace of $C(V, X)$ is a Chebyshev set if and only if the linear subspace is a Haar subspace.

2. THE CHEBYSHEV APPROXIMATION PROBLEM

For $g \in C(X)$ define

$$\| g \| = \sup\{| g(x)|: x \in X\}.$$

Let F be an approximating function with parameter space P such that $F(A, \cdot) \in C(V, X)$ for all $A \in P$. In particular F may be a linear approximating function

$$F(A, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \phi_k(x), \qquad P = E_n.$$

The Approximation problem is: Given $f \in C(V, X)$ to find a parameter $A^* \in P$ for which $\| f - F(A, \cdot)\|$ is minimal. Such a parameter A^* is called *best* and $F(A^*, \cdot)$ is called a *best Chebyshev approximation* to f.
  The following theorem is a generalization of the Haar Theorem.

291

THEOREM 1.   *A necessary and sufficient condition that each $f \in C(V, X)$ have a unique best Chebyshev approximation by an n-dimensional linear subspace L is that L be a Haar subspace whose null space is contained in V.*

Proof.   Sufficiency is proven in [7]. We now prove necessity. Let L not be a Haar subspace; then there exists $p \in L$ not vanishing identically which vanishes on n distinct points $x_1, ..., x_n$ of $X \sim V$. From L being of dimension at most $n - 1$ on $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ it can be seen that there exist signs $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n$ such that there is no $q \in L$ such that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(q(x_i)) = \sigma_i, \qquad i = 1, ..., n. \tag{0}$$

Define $g(x_i) = \sigma_i$, $i = 1, ..., n$, and $g(x) = 0$ for $x \in V$, then g is continuous on $\{x_1, ..., x_n\} \cup V$. By the Tietze extension theorem there is a continuous extension of g to X such that $\| g \| = 1$. Let

$$f(x) = g(x)[\| p \| - | p(x) |],$$

then $| f(x_i) | = \| p \|$, $i = 1, ..., n$ and by choice of f, g, we have $\| f \| = \| p \|$. If $\| f - q \| < \| f \|$ then q would satisfy (0), which is impossible for $q \in L$. Hence 0 is best and since

$$| f(x) - p(x) | \leqslant | f(x) | + | p(x) | \leqslant \| p \| - | p(x) | + | p(x) |,$$

p is also best to f.

In the case of linear Chebyshev approximation on an interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ we have as a special case of the theory of [5]

THEOREM 2.   *Let L be a Haar subspace of dimension n on $[\alpha, \beta]$ with null space V, which consists of at most α and β. A necessary and sufficient condition that $F(A, \cdot) \in L$ be a best linear Chebyshev approximation to $f \in C(V, [\alpha, \beta])$ is that $f - F(A, \cdot)$ alternate n times. Best approximations are unique.*

The above characterization and uniqueness result is valid for approximation on a compact subset Y of $[\alpha, \beta]$ such that $Y \sim V$ contains n or more points, where alternation is on Y.

3. L_p NORMS

Let us consider L_p norms. An inspection of the proof of Cheney [1, 220] gives a result stated as a problem in [1, 223, Problem 11].

THEOREM 3.   *Let L be a Haar subspace on (α, β), then each $f \in C[\alpha, \beta]$ has a unique best L_1 approximation from L.*

In [4] is given a theorem concerning oscillation of the error curve of a best linear approximation with respect to a generalized integral norm on an interval in terms of Haar subspaces. In the case of approximation with respect to an L_p norm, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, the theorem reduces to the following:

THEOREM 4. *Let $L(A, \cdot)$ be a best linear approximation to $f \neq L(A, \cdot)$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$. If the linear family contains a Haar subspace of dimension n on (α, β), then*

(i) $f - L(A, \cdot)$ *has n sign changes, or*

(ii) $p = 1$ *and* $\mu\{x : f(x) - L(A, x) = 0\} > 0$.

In [8] is given a theorem concerning oscillation of the error curve of a best linear approximation with respect to a generalized integral norm on a finite subset of an interval in terms of Haar subspaces. In the case of approximation with respect to an L_p norm, $1 < p < \infty$, the theorem reduces to the following:

THEOREM 5. *Let $L(A, \cdot)$ be a best linear approximation to $f \neq L(A, \cdot)$ on a finite subset of $[\alpha, \beta]$. If the linear family contains a Haar subspace of dimension n on $[\alpha, \beta]$, then $f - L(A, \cdot)$ has n sign changes.*

THEOREM 6. *Let $L(A, \cdot)$ be a best approximation with respect to a weighted L_p "norm," $0 < p < 1$, on finite X. Let the linear family contain a Haar subspace of dimension n on X. Then $f - L(A, \cdot)$ has at least n zeros on X.*

We use the arguments of Rice [13, 289–290]. The theorem also holds for $L(A, \cdot)$ locally best.

Study of L_1 approximation by constants on a set X of two points shows that best L_1 approximations on finite X need not oscillate (as in Theorem 5) or interpolate (as in Theorem 6). However, best L_1 approximations must weakly interpolate [13, 278, Theorem 13-7; 8, Theorem 3]. The results of Rice [12, pp. 114–116] also apply.

4. SPACES OF DEFINITION

We consider on what spaces X can a Chebyshev set exist. Consider first the case when the Chebyshev set consists of one element. If V is empty, then the constant function 1 forms a Chebyshev set. Let X be a perfectly normal space (which is true if it is a metric space) and V be a closed subset of X, then there exists $\phi \in C(X)$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in V$ [3, 148]. We see, therefore, that the existence of a Chebyshev set of one element does not restrict X significantly.

The existence of a Chebyshev set of two or more elements does restrict X greatly [2, p. 1028; 9].

THEOREM 7. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. If a Chebyshev set of two or more elements exists on X with no null points, then X is homeomorphic to a closed subset of the circumference of the unit circle in 2-space.*

If there are null points, X can be slightly more complex. For example X can be a figure eight, consisting of two circles X_1 and X_2 touching at a single point x_0. $\{1, x\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[-1, 1]$. Hence by the following lemma $T = \{(x - 1)\, x(x + 1),\, (x - 1)\, x^2(x + 1)\}$ is a Chebyshev set with null set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. We can map $[-1, 1]$ continuously onto the figure eight so that $(-1, 0)$ is mapped 1:1 onto X_1, $(0, 1)$ is mapped 1:1 onto X_2, and $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ are mapped onto x_0. With the corresponding change of variable, T is a Chebyshev set on the figure eight with $\{x_0\}$ the null set.

5. THEORY CONCERNING CHEBYSHEV SETS

In the following $*$ denotes multiplication.

LEMMA 1. *Let s be a continuous nonnegative function on X and V_1 be its set of zeros. Let $\{\phi_1 ,..., \phi_n\}$ be a Chebyshev set with null set V_2. Then $\{s * \phi_1 ,..., s * \phi_n\}$ is a Chebyshev set with null set $V_1 \cup V_2$.*

The following lemma (proved by Rolle's Theorem) is useful in finding Chebyshev sets.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\{\psi_1 ,..., \psi_n\}$ be a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ whose null set contains at most $\{0, \alpha\}$. Let $\phi_i' = \psi_i$, $i = 1,..., n$, then $\{1, \phi_1 ,..., \phi_n\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$.*

LEMMA 3. *Let $\{\psi_1 ,..., \psi_n\}$ be a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ whose null set contains at most $\{0, \alpha\}$. Let $\phi_i' = \psi_i$ and $\phi_i(0) = 0$, $i = 1,..., n$; then $\{\phi_1 ,..., \phi_n\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ with null set $\{0\}$.*

The proof is similar to that for Lemma 2.

LEMMA 4. *Let $\phi^{(n)}$ be continuous and nonvanishing on (α, β); then $\{1, x,..., x^{n-1}, \phi\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[\alpha, \beta]$.*

This is problem 8 of [1, 77] and is proved by Rolle's Theorem.

LEMMA 5. *Let $\{\phi, \psi\}$ be in $C^n[\alpha, \beta]$. Let $\psi^{(n)}$ not vanish on (α, β) and $\phi^{(n)}$ have at most one zero in (α, β). Let $\phi^{(n)}/\psi^{(n)}$ be strictly monotonic on (α, β). Then $\{1,..., x^{n-1}, \phi, \psi\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[\alpha, \beta]$.*

Proof. Suppose

$$L(A, x) = a_1 + \cdots + a_n x^{n-1} + a_{n+1}\phi(x) + a_{n+2}\psi(x)$$

has $n + 2$ zeros on $[\alpha, \beta]$. The first possibility is that $a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = 0$. Since $\{1,..., x^{n-1}\}$ is a Chebyshev set, this implies $A = 0$. We can, therefore, suppose that one of a_{n+1}, a_{n+2} is nonzero. Suppose first that $a_{n+2} = 0$. In this case $L^{(n)}(A, x) = a_{n+1}\phi^{(n)}(x)$ has two zeros in (α, β), contrary to hypothesis. Next let $a_{n+2} \neq 0$. In this case $L^{(n)}(A, x) = a_{n+1}\phi^{(n)}(x) + a_{n+2}\psi^{(n)}(x)$ has two zeros in (α, β), hence $a_{n+1}\phi^{(n)}(x)/\psi^{(n)}(x) + a_{n+2}$ has two zeros, contrary to strict monotonicity of $\phi^{(n)}/\psi^{(n)}$.

We consider the case where $\phi = x\psi'$. If $x\psi^{(n+1)}/\psi^{(n)}$ is strictly monotonic on (α, β) and $\psi^{(n)}$ does not vanish on (α, β), (i) $\phi^{(n)} = x\psi^{(n+1)} + n\psi^{(n)}$ is strictly monotonic and hence has at most one zero on (α, β), and (ii) $\phi^{(n)}/\psi^{(n)} = [x\psi^{(n+1)}/\psi^{(n)}] + n$ is strictly monotonic.

COROLLARY. *Let ψ be in $C^{n+1}[\alpha, \beta]$. Let $\psi^{(n)}$ not vanish on (α, β). Let $x\psi^{(n+1)}/\psi^{(n)}$ be strictly monotonic on (α, β). Then $\{1,..., x^{n-1}, \psi, x\psi'\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[\alpha, \beta]$.*

THEOREM 8. *Let T be a Chebyshev set on $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ and be composed of even functions $\{\phi_1,..., \phi_n\}$ and odd functions $\{\psi_1,..., \psi_m\}$. The even set is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$. The odd set is a Chebyshev set with null point 0 on $[0, \alpha]$.*

Proof. Let f be a given element of $C[0, \alpha]$ then by defining

$$f(-x) = f(x) \qquad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha,$$

f becomes an even element of $C[-\alpha, \alpha]$. Let $E + O$ be a best approximation by T to f on $[-\alpha, \alpha]$, E a sum of even functions and O a sum of odd functions. The error curve of this best approximation is

$$f(x) - E(x) - O(x) = f(-x) - E(-x) + O(-x),$$

and so it follows that

$$\max\{|f(x) - E(x) - O(x)|: -\alpha \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha\}$$
$$= \max\{|f(x) - E(x) + O(x): -\alpha \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha\}.$$

Hence $E - O$ is also best. But since T is a Chebyshev system, a best Chebyshev approximation is unique, hence $O \equiv 0$. Consider now the

problem of best approximation of f on $[0, \alpha]$ by a linear combination of $\{\phi_1, ..., \phi_n\}$. Suppose F was a best approximation, then by evenness of $f - F$,

$$\max\{|f(x) - F(x)|: 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha\} = \max\{|f(x) - F(x)|: -\alpha \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha\}.$$

It follows that F is a best approximation on $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ by a linear combination of $\{\phi_1, ..., \phi_n\}$. But there is a unique solution to the problem, namely E. Since this is true for all f, $\{\phi_1, ..., \phi_n\}$ is a Haar subspace on $[0, \alpha]$.

Let f be a given element of the continuous functions on $[0, \alpha]$ vanishing at 0. By defining

$$f(-x) = -f(-x) \qquad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha$$

f becomes an odd element of $C[-\alpha, \alpha]$. Let $E + O$ be a best Chebyshev approximation by T to f on $[-\alpha, \alpha]$, E a sum of even functions and O a sum of odd functions. The error curve of this best approximation is

$$f(x) - E(x) - O(x) = -(f(-x) + E(-x) - O(-x))$$

and so it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{|f(x) - E(x) - O(x)|: -\alpha \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha\} \\ = \max\{|f(x) + E(x) - O(x)|: -\alpha \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $-E + O$ is also best. But since T is a Chebyshev system, a best approximation is unique and so $E \equiv 0$. Consider now the problem of best approximation of f on $[0, \alpha]$ by a linear combination of $\{\psi_1, ..., \psi_m\}$. Suppose F was a best approximation, then by oddness of $f - F$,

$$\max\{|f(x) - F(x)|: 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha\} = \max\{|f(x) - F(x)|: -\alpha \leqslant x \leqslant \alpha\}.$$

It follows that F is a best approximation on $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ by a linear combination of $\{\psi_1, ..., \psi_m\}$. But there is a unique solution to this problem, namely O. Since this is true for all f, $\{\psi_1, ..., \psi_m\}$ is a Haar subspace with null point 0.

6. Examples of Chebyshev Sets

EXAMPLE 1. $\{1, x, ..., x^n\}$ is a Chebyshev set on any finite interval. Let us consider arbitrary sets of nonnegative powers. Consideration of the case $\{1, x^2\}$ shows that these need not be Chebyshev sets on an interval containing zero as an interior point. Let α be positive and consider the interval $[0, \alpha]$. Let $0 < \gamma(1) < \cdots < \gamma(n)$. Since $x^\gamma = \exp(\gamma \log(x))$ for $\gamma > 0$ and $x \geqslant 0$, it can be deduced from the remarks following Example 6 that $\{x^{\gamma(1)}, ..., x^{\gamma(n)}\}$

is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ with null set $\{0\}$. If the function 1 is added, it is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$.

EXAMPLE 2. $\{1, \cos x, \sin x,..., \cos(nx), \sin(nx)\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[-\pi, \pi]$ with endpoints identified [11, p. 84].

EXAMPLE 3. $\{1, \cos x,..., \cos(nx)\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \pi]$. An argument in terms of change of variable is used by Remez [11, p. 82], but we can also use Example 2 and Theorem 8.

EXAMPLE 4. $\{\sin x,..., \sin(nx)\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \pi]$ with null set 0 by Example 2 and Theorem 8, or by Example 3 and Lemma 4.

EXAMPLE 5. $\{\exp(\gamma_1 x),..., \exp(\gamma_n x)\}$, $\gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$, is a Chebyshev set on any finite interval. An argument using a special case of Lemma 2 and induction is given by Remez [11, 80]. This example is a special case of Example 6 with $m(1) = m(1) = \cdots = m(n) = 0$.

EXAMPLE 6. Let $\gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$. $\{\exp(\gamma_1 x), x \exp(\gamma_1 x),..., x^{m(1)} \exp(\gamma_1 x),$ $\exp(\gamma_2 x),..., x^{m(2)} \exp(\gamma_2 x),..., \exp(\gamma_n x),..., x^{m(n)} \exp(\gamma_n x)\}$ forms a Chebyshev set on any finite interval [10, p. 313; 11, p. 81].

Let α be finite. The sets of Examples 5 and 6 are Chebyshev sets on $[\alpha, \infty]$ with null set $\{\infty\}$ when $\gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n < 0$. The sets of Examples 5 and 6 are Chebyshev sets on $[\alpha, \infty]$ when $\gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n = 0$ and $m(n) = 0$.

In the following three examples we still have a Chebyshev set if all powers of x indicated are deleted.

EXAMPLE 7. Let $0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$. The set $\{\sinh(\gamma_i x), x \sinh(\gamma_i x),$ $\cosh(\gamma_i x), x \cosh(\gamma_i x)\colon i = 1,..., n\} \cup \{1, x,..., x^m\}$ is a Chebyshev set on any finite interval. The basis is equivalent to a basis of Example 6 with $m(i) = 1$ and powers of x added. It remains a Chebyshev set if any pairs $\{x \sinh(\gamma_i x),$ $x \cosh(\gamma_i x)\}$ are deleted.

EXAMPLE 8. Let $0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$. The set $\{\sinh(\gamma_i x), x \cosh(\gamma_i x)\colon i = 1,..., n\} \cup \{x, x^3,..., x^{2m+1}\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \beta]$ with null set $\{0\}$ by Example 7 and Theorem 8. It remains a Chebyshev set if any elements $x \cosh(\gamma_i x)$ are deleted.

EXAMPLE 9. Let $0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$. The set $\{\cosh(\gamma_i x), x \sinh(\gamma_i x)\colon i = 1,..., n\} \cup \{1, x^2,..., x^{2m}\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \beta]$ by Example 7 and Theorem 8. It remains a Chebyshev set if any elements $x \sinh(\gamma_i x)$ are deleted.

EXAMPLE 10.   Let α be a positive number and $-1/\alpha < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$. $\{1/(1 + \gamma_1 x),\ldots, 1/(1 + \gamma_n x)\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ by Cauchy's Lemma [1, p. 195]. If $0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$, it is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \infty]$ with null set $\{\infty\}$. If $0 = \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$, it is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \infty]$.

EXAMPLE 11.   Let $\alpha > 0$, $-1/\alpha < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n$, and none of $\gamma_1,\ldots, \gamma_n$ be zero. $\{\log(1 + \gamma_1 x),\ldots, \log(1 + \gamma_n x)\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ with null point 0 by Example 10 and Lemma 3. If the function 1 is added, we have a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ by Example 10 and Lemma 2.

EXAMPLE 12.   Let $\alpha > 0$ and $n, m \geqslant 0$. Let $0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_m < 1/\alpha^2$ and $0 < \delta_1 < \cdots < \delta_n$. $\{\text{arctanh}(\gamma_1 x),\ldots, \text{arctanh}(\gamma_m x), \arctan(\delta_1 x),\ldots, \arctan(\delta_n x)\}$ is a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ with null set $\{0\}$ by Example 10 (with change of variable to x^2) and Lemma 3. If the function 1 is added to the basis, we have a Chebyshev set on $[0, \alpha]$ by Example 10 and Lemma 2.

The corollary to Lemma 5 gives sufficient conditions for $\{1, \psi, x\psi'\}$ to be a Chebyshev set. In [6] are given many ψ and intervals (μ, ν) (sometimes $[0, \nu]$) on which $\{1, \psi, x\psi'\}$ is a Chebyshev set.

REFERENCES

1. E. W. CHENEY, "Introduction to Approximation Theory," McGraw–Hill, New York, 1966.
2. P. C. CURTIS, n-parameter families and best approximation, *Pacific J. Math.* 9 (1959), 1013–1027.
3. J. DUGUNDJI, "Topology," Allyn and Bacon, Boston, MA, 1966.
4. C. B. DUNHAM, Best mean rational approximation, *Computing (Arch. Elektron. Rechnen)* 9 (1972), 87–93.
5. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation with a null point, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* 52 (1972), 239.
6. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation by $A + B^*\phi(CX)$, *Computing (Arch. Elektron. Rechnen)*, to appear.
7. C. B. DUNHAM, Linear Chebyshev approximation, *Aequationes Math.* 10 (1974), 40–45.
8. C. B. DUNHAM, Best discrete mean rational approximation, *Aequationes Math.*, to appear.
9. J. MAIRHUBER, On Haar's theorem concerning Chebyshev approximation problems having unique solutions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7 (1956), 609–615.
10. G. MEINARDUS AND D. SCHWEDT, Nicht-lineare Approximationen, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 17 (1964), 297–326.
11. E. REMEZ, General computational methods of Chebyshev approximation, translation, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, 1962.
12. J. RICE, "The Approximation of Functions," vol. 1, Addison–Wesley, Reading, MA, 1964.
13. J. RICE, "The Approximation of Functions," vol. 2, Addison–Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.